

| | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| <p style="text-align: center;">Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance</p> | | | | Title Of Invention | A Method for Comparative Visual Rendering of Data |
| | | | | Application Number | 10/807,938 |
| | | | | Filing Date | 3/23/2004 |
| | | | | First Named Inventor | Eran Megiddo |
| | | | | Art Unit | 2165 |
| | | | | Examiner Name | MOFIZ, APU M. |
| Sheet | 1 | of | 2 | Attorney Docket Number | 304872.08 |

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1460
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of claims 14-25 in the subject application by the Examiner with appreciation. Applicants agree with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that the claims of the present invention are patentable over the references in the record. Applicants expressly traverse the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that any comment is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope, explicitly or implicitly, by not reciting verbatim the respective claim language, or is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope by stating or implying that all the reasons for patentability are in any way fully enumerated.

Moreover, it appears that the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance reiterates the Examiner's arguments made during prosecution. By virtue of the Examiner's allowance of the claims over the cited references and the associated arguments, it is believed that the previous arguments made by the Examiner have been overcome. Additionally, Applicants specifically do not acquiesce or agree in any manner as to the comments made by the Examiner regarding what the prior art does or does not teach. Indeed, Applicants have pointed out the

errors in the comments and arguments in Applicants' Response to the Final Office Action and other responses.

Applicants further point out that the reasons for allowance set forth by the Examiner are not the only reasons that the claims are allowable. Further reasons for allowance of the claims beyond those enumerated by the Examiner are described and set forth in the Applicants' specification. In addition, structures and methods that perform substantially the same function in substantially the same way to achieve substantially the same results are included within the scope of the claims.


Finally, as the Examiner's reasons for allowance are not exhaustive, such reasons for allowance do not establish an estoppel against Applicants seeking and obtaining allowance of additional, broader claims in a continuation application, which Applicants reserve the right to file.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

L. Alan Collins,
Reg. No. 57,646
Telephone (425) 703-8265

Date: 12/20/2005
Microsoft Corporation
One Microsoft Way
Redmond, WA 98052

| CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) | | | |
|--|---|------|-------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO on the date show below to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at: (703) _____ | | | |
| Signature |  | Date | December 20, 2005 |
| Name (Print/Type) | Noemi Tovar | | |